



# Assessment Policy

**Doc No. To be completed by Quality**

To be completed by Quality:

Issue	Date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Issue	Last Reviewed	Date of Next Review	Responsibility of
01		10.09.19	10.09.21	Centre for Excellence Manager HE

This document can also be produced in alternative formats upon request.

## Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Principles of Assessment	3
3	Confirmation of Standard	4
4	Assessor's Judgement	4
5	Assessment Regulations	4
6	Appeals Procedure	5
7	Academic Offences	5
8	Monitoring and Review	6

## 1. Introduction

1.1. This policy has been aligned to and meets the requirements of the reviewed UK Quality Code under Expectations for Standards and Quality. For further information please click on the link: <https://www.qaa.ac.uk/quality-code>.

The College operates an Assessment Policy which:

- a) aims to provide fair and informed assessment for its students;
- b) ensures the standard for Higher Education awards is set and maintained at the appropriate level and that student performance is judged against this;
- c) ensures there is appropriate assessment tasks and assessment criteria in place to measure student achievement and learning outcomes of modules and programmes;
- d) to ensure teaching, learning and assessment strategies are at the appropriate level and aligned to the QAA Framework for Higher Education qualifications (FHEQ);
- e) complies with the assessment regulations of accredited Awarding Bodies;
- f) provides an assessment schedule for the course within six weeks of commencement specifying hand out and hand in dates;
- g) includes a procedure for appeals against assessment

## 2. Principles of Assessment

2.1. To ensure fulfilment of learning outcomes.

2.2. Assessment may be formative and/or summative. Formative is designed to support and guide students in their learning, using inclusive methods, whilst summative is designed to enable students to demonstrate fulfilment of the objectives of the course of study and achievement of the required standard. The Policy relates to both types of assessment but especially to summative due to its role in certification. Course Teams are encouraged to integrate formative assessment within their programmes. Assessment strategies (internal assessment and examinations) are designed to fulfil requirements of the curriculum as specified by the relevant Awarding Body. Course Tutors must ensure teaching, learning and assessment strategies are at the appropriate level and aligned to the QAA Framework for Higher Education qualifications (FHEQ) and there is appropriate assessment tasks and assessment criteria in place to measure student achievement and learning outcomes of modules and programmes.

2.3. Support is offered to students as appropriate and on a case by case basis. This may include HE Academic Mentors and/or the College Learning Mentors to enable them to reach the required standard, providing equality of access to opportunity for assessment.

2.4. Examinations - It is the responsibility of the College to ensure special arrangements for assessment are in place, e.g. environmental, extra time for students with learning difficulties and disabilities. Such arrangements are in accordance with JCQ procedures. For further clarification on examinations processes please see the Higher Education Standard Operating Procedures for Exams.

### 3. Confirmation of Standard

3.1. The College requires all programmes of study to operate within the relevant Course Regulations, which specify any assessment requirements of the course. (In some cases these assessment requirements are determined and published by external assessment/examination authorities such as professional bodies and Awarding Bodies). **For example, all Edexcel assessment briefs must have clear pass, merit and distinction criterion for learning objectives and must be contextualised. Open University programmes must reflect the assessment requirements as outlined under Section D of the Regulations for validated award of The Open University.**

3.2. Support during assessment for students with learning difficulties and/or disabilities, will be given, where appropriate, in accordance with the regulations of the Awarding Body. Evidence of special arrangements required should be, where appropriate, obtained through Learning Support section of Student Services.

3.3. All assessment opportunities will be conducted in accordance with the College's Equal Opportunities Policy.

### 4. Assessor's Judgement

4.1. Assessment must be carried out competently and impartially. The College ensures that an external assessor, examiner, moderator or verifier (who may be appointed by the Awarding Body) verifies the assessment of each internally assessed course. The role of the external member is to ensure that standards are maintained and that work is justly assessed.

4.2. Assessment entails both computation and exercise of the assessor's judgement in evaluating the standard of work and the interpretation of assessment regulations. Assessors operate under the College's quality assurance mechanisms relating to good practice; the academic judgement of the assessors cannot be contradicted.

4.3. Grades awarded by the College, such as Edexcel HND/C may be subsequently altered as a result of external moderation by the Awarding Body's examiner/verifier (*not applicable to the Open University validated awards*).

### 5. Assessment Regulations

5.1. Information for Students:

The College requires that on each programme the course regulations, including assessment requirements, be made known to students by the Programme Administrator/Course Director at an early stage in the delivery of the course Unit/module booklet circulated to students detailing programme specification assignments, marking criteria, reading schedule. The assessment requirements should include reference to calendar for assessment, assessment criteria, and deadlines for individual assessment activities and cut-off points for submission of portfolios for

assessment. It is the responsibility of the Course Team Leader to ensure that this information is made available in an appropriate way.

During the programme, Module tutors will monitor student progress in completing assessment and provide feedback as necessary. Student tutorial programme will also provide students with academic support. Assessment feedback will include both verbal and written and be given in a range of ways e.g. generic class feedback and/or one to one feedback sessions during tutorials. The timeframe for all feedback is 10 working days.

The programme regulations are to include information on assessment appeals and late submission

## 5.2. Responsibility of Students/Late Submissions:

Every assignment must have a clear written indication of assessment submission dates when it is issued to students.

It is the responsibility of the student to negotiate with the Module Tutor if a situation arises whereby they are unable to meet the submission deadline (Extenuating Circumstances Procedure which is available on the College website). The module tutor must keep the Course Director informed of any situations whereby a student or students are unable to meet the stipulated deadline.

If, at any point, a student is not on target to complete their assessment on time, an extension/deferment period may be negotiated, agreed and recorded with the module tutor, subject to Extenuating Circumstances Procedure. This must be agreed in advance of the deadline. Where submission deadlines fall under the auspices of Awarding Bodies, these should be adhered to, for example Open University students are advised to consult the Regulations for Validated Awards of The Open University for further guidance on late submissions and extenuating circumstances.

Extensions will not normally be granted beyond the date when the assessed work is due to be returned to the student group, with the exception of extenuating circumstances.

## 6. Appeals Procedure

See Academic Appeals Procedure

## 7. Academic Offences

See [Plagiarism Policy](#)

The following are the main types of academic offences:

**Cheating** - This is where a student either copies from the scripts of other candidates or allows their work to be copied or, in a manner not explicitly permitted by the regulations for the examinations, brings into the examination any unauthorised materials, or impersonates in any form.

**Plagiarism** - is where a student copies work from another source, published or unpublished (including the work of another person), in a manner not authorised by the regulations of the assessment, and

presents the copied work as if it was the student's own. Work that is not the student's own must not be excessive and must be clearly identified with the source fully acknowledged.

**Fabrication** - is where a student presents false or fabricated information, results or conclusions in any form of assessment, including practical work, field work, oral presentations, interviews and reports on work placements.

**Ghosting** exists where:


- A student submits as their own work which has been produced in whole or part by another person on their behalf e.g. the use of a ghost writing service i.e. buying assignments off the internet;
- A student seeks to make financial gain or other material gain by using work, which they have written or produced, available to another student.


7.1. If a student is believed to have committed an academic offence; investigations will be initiated and overseen by the Quality & Performance Manager/Centre for Excellence Manager for Higher Education. The resultant findings will be communicated to the student within 15 working days.

## 8. Monitoring and Review

The College will establish appropriate information and monitoring systems to assist the effective implementation of this Policy.

The College will ensure that adequate resources are made available to promote this Policy effectively and is committed to reviewing this Policy on a regular basis, in consultation with the recognised trade unions, statutory organisations such as the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland and in line with models of good practice.

Signed:  \_\_\_\_\_ Date 19-04-19  
(Chief Executive)

Signed:  \_\_\_\_\_ Date 19/4/19  
(Chairman of Governing Body)

## Document Development

Please complete with details regarding the development of this Policy.

### D1. Working Group

Details of staff who were involved in the development of this policy:

Name	Position

### D2. Consultation

Details of staff, external groups or external organisations who were consulted in the development of this policy:

Please refer to Equality Screening Consultation Guidance.

Name	Organisation	Date

### D3. Approval Dates:

Details	Date Approved
Equality Screening <i>(please refer to Equality Screening Guidance)</i>	
Quality Checked <i>(please refer to Quality Checklist)</i>	
SLT	
Governing Body <i>(SLT to decide if PPS needs to go to Governing Body)</i>	

### D4. Communication Plan:

Please provide details and dates as to how this policy will be communicated, implemented and disseminated:

Action:	Action by:	Date:

D5. Document History

Issue no. under review  (Please see the front page)	Date of review:  (Date)	Who was involved in reviewing the document?  (Name/s)	Were changes made to the document after reviewing?  (Yes or No)	If changes have been made, please provide brief details:	New Issue No.	If Yes, did the document need to go through consultation again?  (Yes*/No)	If Yes, did the document need to be Equality Screened again?  (Yes*/No)	If Yes, date those affected by document will be alerted of updated document:  (Date)

\* If you answered 'Yes' in columns E or F, you must forward details of additional consultation and/or screening to the Equality Officer.